

In the Office Action the Examiner indicated that the present application contained two different inventions, namely:

Semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 98,
and

Method of making semiconductor device, classified in class
438, subclass 47.

The Examiner required to elect for further prosecution one of the inventions.

It is respectfully submitted that the present application contains claims 1-10 defining an optical detector in accordance with the present invention, claims 11-21 defining a method of producing an arrangement of several semiconductor layers, and claims 22-25 defining devices for space application and for communication between satellites.

With the response filed on November 7, 2005, applicants elected for further prosecution the inventions related to the optical detector, and also to the devices for space application and for communication between satellites, as defined in claims 1-10 and 22-25.


Claims 23 and 25 identified by the Examiner in the outstanding Office Action are not related to the method of making a semiconductor device.

It is therefore believed that the Examiner's restriction requirement should be withdrawn.

Consideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal respects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned (at 631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 27233